RNA

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

ATTEST

By Mecca S. Thompson on Jun 11, 2009

FOR THE UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

C09 02040

UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Jun 11, 2009

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL

MULTIDISTRICT LITIG TO CO

IN RE: CONOCOPHILLIPS CO. SERVICE STATION RENT CONTRACT LITIGATION

JUN 1 1 2008

MDL No. 2040

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE

TRANSFER ORDER

Before the entire Panel: Common defendant ConocoPhillips Company (ConocoPhillips) moves, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings of the twelve actions listed on Schedule A in the Northern District of California. The defendant's motion encompasses six actions in the Central District of California, four actions in the Northern District of California and one action each in the Eastern District of California and Southern District of California. Plaintiffs in all actions support centralization but suggest the Central District of California as the transferee district.

After considering the argument of counsel, we find that these twelve actions involve common questions of fact, and that centralization under Section 1407 in the Northern District of California will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of this litigation. All actions share factual questions arising from ConocoPhillips's alleged plan to raise the rent on its gasoline service stations. Specifically, plaintiffs are ConocoPhillips dealers or franchisees who allege, *inter alia*, that ConocoPhillips's rent policy constitutes a material breach of the franchise agreements and violation of certain federal and California statutes. Centralization under Section 1407 will eliminate duplicative discovery, prevent inconsistent pretrial rulings, and conserve the resources of the parties, their counsel and the judiciary.

Either of the two suggested transferee districts, the Northern District of California or the Central District of California, would be an appropriate transferee forum for this litigation. Multiple actions are pending in each district, and no action is well progressed. On balance, we are persuaded that the Northern District of California is preferable. Centralization in this district permits the Panel to effect the Section 1407 assignment to an experienced transferee judge who can steer this litigation on a steady and expeditious course.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, the actions listed on Schedule A and pending outside the Northern District of California are transferred to the Northern District of California and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Ronald M. Whyte for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with the actions listed on Schedule A and pending in that district.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

John G. Heyburn II Chairman

J. Frederick Motz Kathryn H. Vratil W. Royal Furgeson, Jr. Robert L. Miller, Jr. David R. Hansen Frank C. Damrell, Jr.

## IN RE: CONOCOPHILLIPS CO. SERVICE STATION RENT CONTRACT LITIGATION

MDL No. 2040

### **SCHEDULE A**

## Central District of California

K S 4000 Inc. v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 2:08-8544 HM Khosh Inc. v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 2:08-8546 NRU Inc. v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 2:08-8548 RHA Inc. v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 2:08-8549 4JR Enterprises Inc. v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 2:09-164 Rohinton F. Irani v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 2:09-223

## Eastern District of California

Denmuller Mechanical Inc. v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 2:08-3007

## Northern District of California

Damar Petroleum, Inc. v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 5:08-5436 Matthew E. Horton v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 5:08-5437 Kyoung Suk Rho v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 5:08-5545 Hamilton Associates FLP v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 5:08-5763

## Southern District of California

AA Rancho Inc. v. ConocoPhillips Co., C.A. No. 3:09-42

Case 5:09-md-02040-RMW Document 1 Filed 06/11/09 Page 4 of 5 Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation - Panel Service List

Page 1

Docket: 2040 - IN RE: ConocoPhillips Co. Service Station Rent Contract Litigation

Status: Transferred on 06/11/2009

Transferee District: CAN Judge: Whyte, Ronald M. Printed on 06/11/2009

**ATTORNEY - FIRM** 

### REPRESENTED PARTY(S)

Friedenberg, Adam GLYNN & FINLEY LLP One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 =>Phone: (925) 210-2800 Fax: (925) 945-1975 Email: afriedenberg@glynnfinley.com ConocoPhillips Co.\*

Lebedev, Gennady L.
BLEAU FOX PLC
3575 Cahuenga Boulevard West
Suite 580

Los Angeles, CA 90068

=>Phone: (323) 874-8613 Fax: (323) 874-1234 Email: glebedev@bleaufox.com

4JR Enterprises Inc.\*; AA Rancho Inc.\*; Damar Petroleum, Inc.\*; Denmuller Mechanical Inc.\*;

Hamilton Associates FLP\*; HM Khosh Inc.\*; Horton, Matthew E.\*; Irani, Rohinton F\*; K S 4000

Inc.\*; NRU Inc.\*; RHA Inc.\*; Rho, Kyoung Suk\*

Note: Please refer to the report title page for complete report scope and key.

# IN RE: CONOCOPHILLIPS CO. SERVICE STATION RENT CONTRACT LITIGATION

MDL No. 2040

## INVOLVED CLERKS LIST

Terri Nafisi, Clerk G-8 U.S. Courthouse 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 MDLClerk/CACD/09/USCOURTS

Victoria Minor, Clerk 4-200 U.S. Courthouse 501 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814-2322 CAEDml\_Multi-District-Litigation

W. Samuel Hamrick, Jr., Clerk 4290 Edward J. Schwartz Federal Building 880 Front Street San Diego, CA 92101-8900 John Morrill/CASD/09/USCOURTS